

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

_					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/667,003	09/21/2000	Woong Sik Choi	2658-191P	8781
	2292 7	590 10/02/2002			
	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
				ALEXANDER REINDOR, NAA OBOSHIE C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2674	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	•	09/667,003	CHOI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Naa-Oboshie Alexander-Reindorf	2674			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	Contombor 2000				
2a)□		is action is non-final.	•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		resecution as to the marite is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
_a	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.			
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al in view of Willard.

As per claim 1, 10, 25, 27, at column 1, lines 29–39 Aoki et al. teach an electro-luminescence display device comprising:

First, second, and third pixel cells, each displaying different colors (6R, 6G, or 6B); a first and second driving circuits (driver circuit 32) receiving a driving voltages and applying a driving currents to the pixel cells based on the driving voltages; wherein the driving voltages are equal. However, it is noted that Aoki et al. do not teach a method wherein the driving currents are different. But it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the convention of applying different voltages for different color pixels, which would inherently produce different currents.

As per claim 2, 11, 22, at column 1, lines 64-68, Aoki et al. teach an electro-luminescence display wherein the driving circuits have different structures (amorphous silicon or polycrystalline).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,003

Art Unit: 2674

As per claim 3, 12, 18, 23, 24, 26, and 28 the first and second driving circuits of Aoki et al. have been discussed above. Willard teaches devices where the first and second driving circuits each comprise channel lengths and channel widths, at column 1, lines 30- 36; the ratio formed by the length to width of each of the two being different at column 1, lines 37- 41. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Willard into that of Aoki et al. because it is inherent that the two materials will have different characteristics.

As per claim 13, 19, at column 7, lines 46-48, Aoki et al. teach a device where the pixel cells are R, B, G pixel cells.

As per claims 4-10, 16,17, it would have been obvious to vary the characteristics of the circuits as claimed because it is known to change the resistance.

As per claim 20, in Figure 5 and 6, Aoki et al. teach a method of forming an electro-luminescence display, comprising forming a plurality of pixel cells (10x)) between the gate lines (9x) and the data lines (8x); forming a driving transistor for each pixel cell; and forming a data driving control circuit commonly connected to the data lines to provide an identical driving voltage to each pixel cell (column 7, line 29-34).

As per claim 21, in Figure 6, Aoki et al. teach a method of forming a plurality of pixel groups (10), each group having an R (1R), G (1G), and B (1B) pixels.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Naa-Oboshie Alexander-Reindorf** whose telephone number is **703-305-3897**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays from 8:30 – 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9314.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

NAR September 25, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXPANIES

TECHNOLOGY CERRED LLUG